Division Bench

C.S. 1004

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 4605 OF 2005

PETITIONER

Rajiv Kumar Trivedi, S/o. Late V.M.Trivedi, Aged about 35 years, Occupation-Government Service, R/o. Ambikapur (Darripara) P.S. Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary Home Department, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Superintendent of Police, Ambikapur, P.S. Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)
3. The Station House Officer, P.S. Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)
4. Amrita Singh Sohal, W/o.Rajiv Kumar Trivedi, Aged about 21 years, D/o. Jashwant Singh Sohal, R/o. Old Bus Stand Ambikapur, P.S. Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)
5. Sardar Jashwant Singh Sohal, S/o. Tarlok Singh, Aged about 50 years, Occupation-Contractor, R/o.Old Bus Stand Ambikapur, P.S. Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4891/05
Presented by Shri Abhijit Sarkar
dated 26/9/05

RECEIVED COPY
20.9.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

शपथ आयुक्त,
एस आर वैरिशाक
बिलासपुर

(4) (2)

6. Smt. Harvindar Kaur, W/o. Jashwant Singh Sohal, R/o. Old Bus Stand Ambikapur, P.S. Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF HABEAS CORPUS.

41

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 4605/05 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Division Bench
HON'BLE SHRI L.C. BHADOO, J
& HON'BLE SHRI V.K. SHRIVASTAVA, J.

27/10/2005

Shri Vimalesh Bajpai, Counsel for the petitioner.

Shri G.K. Beriwal, Dy. Advocate General for respondents No. 1 to 3/State.

Shri S.S. Agrawal, Counsel for the respondents No. 4 to 6.

Respondents No. 4 to 6 are also present in person.

In order to ascertain freewill of the respondent No.4, her statement was recorded in which she has deposed that at her free will she went to the house of her parents i.e., respondents No. 5 and 6 and she wants to reside with them only and she is not under the pressure of any one.

In view of the above fact, it is established that the respondent No.4 is not in the confinement of any one and she is residing with her parents on her own freewill. Being a major woman, she is at liberty to reside wherever she wants and she is not in the confinement of any one.

The petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Sd//-
V.K.Shrivastava
Judge

raju